

GROWTH AND YIELD OF MUSTARD (*Brassica sp.*) AS INFLUENCED BY IRRIGATION AND FERTILIZER

M. M. Ud-Deen* and J. K. Sarker

Department of Crop science and Technology

University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh

*Corresponding author's email: uddeenm@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

To observe the growth and yield attributes of mustard under different irrigation frequency and fertilizer levels, a field experiment was conducted with mustard (*Brassica sp.*) var. "BARI Sarishal-15". The experiment was carried out at the research field of the Department of Crop Science and Technology, Rajshahi University, Rajshahi during the period from October, 2019 to February, 2020. The experiment consisted of three irrigation frequency i.e. no irrigation, 2 times irrigation and 3 times irrigation as well as three levels of fertilizers viz. 80-20-30 kg/ha NPK (Farmers practice), 90-30-40-25-1.0 kg/ha NPKSB (MYG) and 100-40-50-35-1.5 kg/ha NPKSB (HYG). The results revealed that different treatments significantly affected the growth and yield attributes of mustard. The combination of two times irrigation and highest level of fertilizer (HYG) produced the maximum plant height, no. leaves per plant, no. of branches per plant, pod length, no. of pods per plant, no. of seeds per pod, 1000 seeds weight, seed yield, straw yield and minimum days required to 50% flowering and maturity. On the other hand, all the studied parameters except days to 50% flowering and maturity found to be the lowest in no irrigation along with fertilization as farmers practice. Hence, the study concluded that two times irrigation and 100-40-50-35-1.5 kg/ha NPKSB can be used for getting the targeted yield as well as desired return from mustard cultivation in "High Ganges River Floodplain zones" of Bangladesh.

Key words: Irrigation, fertilizer, growth, yield, mustard

Introduction

Mustard (*Brassica spp.* L.) belongs to the family *Brassicaceae* (formally *Cruciferae*) is a cool season, thermo sensitive as well as photosensitive crop (Ghosh and Chatterjee, 1988). It is one of the most important oil crops of the world after soybean and groundnut. In Bangladesh context, mustard is popular edible oil in rural area and is considered important for improving the taste of a number of food items. Mustard is rich in minerals like calcium, magnesium, iron, vitamin A, C and proteins. 100 g mustard seed contains 508 kcal energy, 28.09 g carbohydrates, 26.08 g proteins, 36.24 g total fat, 12.2 g dietary fiber, 31 I.U. vitamin A, 7.1 mg vitamin C, 266 mg calcium, 9.21 mg iron, 370 mg magnesium and 738 mg potassium (USDA (2014). It is widely used as a cooking ingredient, condiment and for its medicinal value. It also serves as an important raw material for industrial use such as in soap, paints, varnishes, hair oils, lubricants, textile auxiliaries, pharmaceuticals, etc. Moreover, mustard oil cake is utilized as cattle feed and small quantities are also used as manure. It covers about 80% of the total oilseed acreage and about 71% of the total production in Bangladesh (BBS, 2009). Last ten years have witnessed gradual decline in an area of 104 thousand hectare and production 68 thousand tons of mustard and rapeseed (Anonymous, 2006). Though the production of edible oil is being decreased, whereas, the demand is increasing day by day for increased population. Cultivation of low yielder local varieties, water and proper fertilizer management are the major causes for poor yield of mustard in the country (Alam and Rahman, 2006). So, there is a great scope of increasing yield of mustard by selecting high yielding varieties and improving management practices. Mustard is mainly grown during the winter season (October-March). The growth yield attributes and yield of mustard increased significantly with the increase in number irrigation. Adequate supply of moisture in soil helps in proper utilization of plant nutrients, ensuring proper growth and yield of mustard. The frequency of irrigation and the amount of water required depend on cultivar, soil type, season, amount of rainfall and diseases; therefore, it is difficult to give definite recommendation. Over irrigation, as well as under irrigation may lower yields. Indeed, irrigation had significant effect on all the yield and yield

contributing characters. Poor fertilizer management also an important causes for having reduced yield of mustard. Usually, mustard is cultivated in marginal land with low fertility. By supplying proper amount of fertilizer, total production of mustard can be increased. It is reported that application of mixed fertilizers (NPKS) would help to increase seed yield in mustard (Jagvir *et al.*, 2004). A very few research have so far been done with combined application of fertilizer and irrigation in mustard. Therefore, the present study is undertaken to assess the effect of irrigation frequency and fertilizer on the growth and yield of mustard.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out at the research field of Department of Crop Science and Technology, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, during the period from October, 2019 to February, 2020 with mustard (*Brassica sp.*) var. "BARI Sarishal-15". The study area is located at 24. 370⁰ N and 88.637⁰ E latitude and 200 cm from the sea level and was belonging to the Agro Ecological Zone (AEZ-11) "High Ganges River Floodplain". The soil was sandy loam in texture with pH 5.47. The experimental area is under the sub-tropical climate that is characterized by less rainfall associated with moderately low temperature during rabi season, (October-March) and high temperature, high humidity and heavy rainfall with occasional gusty winds during kharif season (April-September). The two factors Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications was followed to carried out the study. The study consisted three irrigation frequency (factor A) i.e. I₀=no irrigation, I₁=2 times irrigation (at 20 and 50 DAS) and I₂=3 times irrigation (at 20, 40 and 50 DAS) as well as three levels of fertilizers (factor B) viz. F₁:80-20-30 kg/ha NPK (Farmers practice), F₂:90-30-40-25-1.0 kg/ha NPKSB (Moderate Yield Goal - MYG) and F₃:100-40-50-35-1.5 kg/ha NPKSB (High Yield Goal - HYG). The unit plot size was 3m X 2m. The seed of mustard was collected from the Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Joydebpur, Gazipur Plant to plant distance was continuous and 2-3 cm depth furrow and row to row distance was 30cm. Fertilizers were applied following the guidelines of Rashid (1993). Intercultural operations were done as and when necessary. Data were recorded for desired parameters from the sample plants at 60 days after sowing (DAS) and at harvest. Ten (10) plants were randomly selected from each unit plot for the collection of data. The collected data were analyzed using computer package MSTAT and mean differences were adjudged by using Duncan's Multiple Range Test following Gomez and Gomez (1984).

Results and Discussion

Plant height

Significant variation of plant height was found due to combined effect of irrigation and fertilizer (Table 1). The results revealed that at 60 DAS, the tallest plant (102.20cm) was obtained from treatment I₁F₃ (two times irrigation with High Yield Goal fertilizer) which was statistically similar with I₀F₃ and I₂F₃, whereas the shortest plant (85.15cm) was obtained from treatment I₀F₁ (no irrigation with farmers practice fertilizer) which was significantly different from all other treatments except I₀F₂, I₁F₁ and I₂F₁. It might be due to the soil moisture availability for the plant was which sufficient before third time irrigation at 50 DAS. Similar result was reported by Latif, 2006 and Kibbria, 2013. Ahmed *et al.* (1999) reported the higher yield contributing characters and yields by high fertilizer management in mustard.

No. of leaves per plant and no. of branches per plant

The effect of irrigation interacts better with fertilizer when sufficient moisture was supplied. Table 1 show that treatment I₁F₃ produced the highest no. of leaves per plant (21.28) and no. of branches per plant (7.50) which were statistically identical with treatments I₂F₃ and I₀F₃, I₁F₂, I₂F₂ and I₂F₃. The lowest no. of leaves per4 plant was found in I₀F₁ which was statistically similar with I₁F₁ and lowest no. of branches per plant was in I₁F₁ which was statistically identical with all other treatments except I₁F₃ and I₂F₃. This result is in conformity with the findings of Singh *et al.* (1993). They reported that two levels of irrigation resulted highest number of branches per plant.

Table 1. Effects of irrigation and fertilizer on vegetative growth of mustard

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | No. of leaves per plant | No. of branches per plant | Days to 50% flowering | Days to maturity |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| I ₀ F ₁ | 85.15e | 12.10e | 4.25bc | 42.50a | 86.25a |
| I ₀ F ₂ | 87.73de | 16.71cd | 5.00bc | 37.50bc | 83.70ab |
| I ₀ F ₃ | 97.30ab | 18.12bc | 6.30a-c | 34.87c | 82.75bc |
| I ₁ F ₁ | 88.52c-e | 14.25de | 4.00c | 40.70ab | 82.15bc |
| I ₁ F ₂ | 91.00cd | 15.23cd | 5.80a-c | 37.00bc | 85.20ab |
| I ₁ F ₃ | 102.20a | 21.28a | 7.50a | 35.00c | 80.20c |
| I ₂ F ₁ | 90.75c-e | 15.25cd | 4.85bc | 39.25ab | 82.85bc |
| I ₂ F ₂ | 93.82bc | 17.25b-d | 6.00a-c | 38.90ab | 84.20ab |
| I ₂ F ₃ | 98.17ab | 20.07ab | 6.50ab | 37.20bc | 82.15bc |
| CV (%) | 3.25 | 9.85 | 21.75 | 5.07 | 1.90 |
| LSD (5%) | 5.22 | 2.85 | 2.10 | 3.34 | 2.74 |

Table 2. Effects of irrigation and fertilizer on yield attributes and yield of mustard

| Treatments | Pod length (cm) | No. of pods/plant | No. of seeds/pod | 1000 seeds wt. (g) | Seed yield (t/ha) | Straw yield (t/ha) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| I ₀ F ₁ | 5.15b | 60.35g | 17.00e | 2.50d | 0.92e | 1.25f |
| I ₀ F ₂ | 5.80ab | 64.25d-f | 19.00cd | 2.85b-d | 0.95e | 1.65c-f |
| I ₀ F ₃ | 5.95ab | 67.17bc | 20.75ab | 3.10ab | 1.30bc | 2.00b-d |
| I ₁ F ₁ | 5.25b | 62.00fg | 17.95de | 2.60cd | 0.98de | 1.45ef |
| I ₁ F ₂ | 5.70ab | 65.27c-e | 19.75bc | 2.90b-d | 1.10c-e | 1.79c-e |
| I ₁ F ₃ | 6.40a | 70.25a | 21.30a | 3.40a | 1.60a | 2.75a |
| I ₂ F ₁ | 5.35b | 63.00ef | 18.00de | 2.80b-d | 1.00de | 1.55d-f |
| I ₂ F ₂ | 5.67ab | 66.00b-d | 19.20c | 2.95bc | 1.25b-d | 2.10bc |
| I ₂ F ₃ | 6.00ab | 68.10ab | 20.85ab | 3.00bc | 1.40ab | 2.50ab |
| CV (%) | 8.55 | 2.11 | 3.19 | 7.56 | 12.72 | 14.93 |
| LSD (5%) | 0.84 | 2.38 | 1.10 | 0.38 | 0.26 | 0.49 |

Means followed by the same letter(s) do not statistically differ at 5% level tested by DMRT.

Days to 50% flowering and maturity

Effect due to irrigation and fertilizer on days required to 50% flowering and maturity were found significant (Table 1). The treatment combination I₀F₁ required maximum number of days for 50% flowering and maturity (42.50 and 86.25) whereas I₁F₃ required the minimum (35.00 and 80.20) which were statistically identical with I₀F₂, I₀F₃, I₁F₁, I₁F₂, I₂F₁ and I₂F₃. Less number of days taken to 50% flowering and maturity with higher levels of fertilizer and frequencies of irrigation might be due to early vegetative growth and hastening the initiation of reproduction phase. These results were in conformity with the findings of Jilani *et al.* (2009).

Yield attributes and yield

All the recorded parameters regarding yield attributes and yield of mustard showed significant variations due to combined effect of irrigation frequency and fertilizer level (Table 2). The longest pod (6.40 cm) was found in treatment I₁F₃ which was statistically identical with all other treatments except I₀F₁, I₁F₁ and I₂F₁ whereas the shortest one (5.15 cm) was observed in I₀F₁ followed by I₁F₁ and I₂F₁. No. of pods per plant and no. of seeds per pod were found highest (70.25 and 21.30) in treatment I₁F₃ and the lowest (60.35 and 17.00) were observed in I₀F₁ which were statistically different from all other treatments. Results showed

that the number of pods per plant increased with the increasing irrigation frequency. This result is in conformity with that of Sharma & Kumar (1989). These results are in also conformity with Parsad and Ehsanullah (1988). Irrigation and fertilizer had significant influence on 1000 seeds weight. Results showed that the highest 1000 seed weight (3.40 g) was produced by I_1F_3 which was statistically similar with I_0F_3 whereas the lowest 1000 seeds weight (2.50 g) was found in I_0F_1 which was statistically identical with I_0F_2 , I_1F_1 , I_1F_2 and I_2F_1 . This result is in agreement with Sharma & Kumar (1989). Similar results were also obtained by Gupta (1988).

Seed yield is the ultimate goal of mustard cultivation. Irrigation with fertilizer had significant influence on the seed yield of mustard. The highest seed yield (1.60 t/ha) was observed in I_1F_3 which was statistically identical with I_2F_3 and lowest one (0.92 t/ha) was obtained from I_0F_1 which was statistically identical with I_0F_2 , I_1F_1 , I_1F_2 and I_2F_1 . The reason of the highest seed yield might be due to the highest value at all the yield contributing characters such as plant height, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod and 1000 seeds weight. Giri *et al.* (2003) found that different irrigation practices significantly increased the mustard yield over the control. Similar results were obtained by Khan and Muendel (2005). Straw yield was affected significantly due to irrigation and fertilizer. The highest straw yield (2.75 t/ha) was found in I_1F_3 which was statistically similar with I_2F_3 whereas the lowest one (1.25 t/ha) was from I_0F_1 which was statistically identical with I_0F_2 , I_1F_1 and I_2F_1 . This result is partially similar to the report of Singh *et al.* (2003). Significant effect was not observed on straw yield due to the interaction of irrigation and fertilizer.

Conclusion

The study concluded that mustard variety BARI Sarisha-15 cultivated with two times irrigation and High Yield Goal fertilizer level may be recommended for getting the targeted yield as well as desired return from mustard cultivation in “High Ganges River Floodplain zones” of Bangladesh.

References

- Ahmed, F., M. R. Karim and M. A. Jahan. 1999. Effect of different management practices on the productivity and profitability of mustard under late sown condition. *Bangladesh J. Agril. Res.*, 24(3): 425-430.
- Alam, M. M. and M. M. Rahman. 2006. Effect of row spacing on seed yield of five varieties of Rapeseed. *Bangladesh J. Crop Sci.*, 17(1): 163-168.
- Anonymous. 2006. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. Monthly Statistical Bulletin of Bangladesh. January, Statistics Div., Ministry of Planning, Govt. People's Repub. Bangladesh. P. 54.
- BBS (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics). 2009. Monthly Statistical Book of Bangladesh, October 2009. Ministry of planning, Govt. Peoples Rep. Bangladesh. P.14.
- Ghosh, R. K., B. N. Chatterjee. 1988. Effect of dates of sowing on oil content and fatty acid profiles of Indian mustard. *Indian J. Oil seed Res.*, 5(2):144-149.
- Giri, M. D., A. Hamid, D. G. Giri, R. P. Kumar and S. Mohammed. 2003. The effect of irrigation and sources of sulphur on yield attributes, yield and consumptive use of water use efficiency of mustard (*Brassica Juncea*). Department of Agronomy, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, India. *Research on crops*, 4(3): 322-326.
- Gomez, K. A. and A. A. Gomez. 1984. Duncan's multiple Range test. *Statistical Proced. Agric. Res.*, 2: 540-544.
- Gupta, S. K. 1988. Effect of Plant geometry on growth and yield of mustard. *Indian J. Agron.*, 33: 208-209.

- Jagvir, S., D. Monga and M. S. Deshmuch. 2004. Direct and residual effect of sulphur on growth, yield and quality of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*)-mustard (*B. juncea*) cropping system. *J. Cotton Res. Dev.*, 18(2): 172-174.
- Jilani, M. S., A. Bakar, K. Waseem and M. Kiran. 2009. Effect of different levels of N, P, K, on the growth and yield of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) under the plastic tunnel. *J. agric. Soc. Sci.*, 5: 99-10.
- Khan, R. U. and H. H. Muendel. 2005. Effect of row spacing on weed control and seed yield of rapeseed (*B. napus*). *Sarhad J. of Agril.*, 15(1): 1-3.
- Kibbria, M. T. 2013. Effect of irrigation and weeding on the yield components and yield of mustard. M. S. thesis, Dept. of Agronomy, Sher-e-Bangla Agril. Univ. Dhaka
- Latif, M. A. 2006. Influence of irrigation and nitrogen on the yield of rapeseed. M. S. thesis, Dept. of Agronomy, Sher-e-Bangla Agril. Univ. Dhaka.
- Prasad, U. K. and M. Ehsanullah. 1988. Effect of irrigation and nitrogen on yield and yield attributes of mustard. *Indian J. Agron.*, 33 (1): 47-51.
- Rashid, M. M. 1993. Vegetable Science (in Bangla). 1st ed., Bangla Academy Dhaka. Bangladesh. PP. 333-336.
- Sharma, D. K. and A. Kumar. 1989. Effect of irrigation on growth analysis, yield and water use in Indian mustard (*Brassica Juncea* sub *Juncea*). *Indian J. Agril. Sci.*, 59(3): 162-165.
- Singh, G. K., P. Kader and K. Prasad. 2003. Effect of row spacing and nitrogen doses on nutrient uptake and oil yield in mustard crop. *Res. Hisar.*, 25(3): 431-435.
- Singh, R. P., H. S. Daulay and K. C. Singh. 1993. Response of mustard to different levels of irrigation and row spacing. *Indian J. Agril. Sci.*, 48(4): 234-239.
- USDA. 2014. United States Department of Agriculture-Rapeseed area, yield and production. P. 15.