

ASSESSING THE HAZARDOUS IMPACTS OF CHROMIUM ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF BORO RICE

M. Islam¹, M. Ali², M. M. Hasan², S. Ray³ and M. Rahman^{2*}

¹Department of Agricultural Chemistry, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207

²Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture (BINA), Sub-Station, Rangpur-5400, Bangladesh

³Department of Geography and Environment, National University, Gazipur-1704, Bangladesh

*Corresponding author's email: motabberbd@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted at the net house of Agro-environmental Chemistry Laboratory of the Department of Agricultural Chemistry of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka, Bangladesh during the period from December 2016 to May 2017. The study was undertaken to evaluate the toxic effect of chromium on growth, yield and nutritional attributes of BRRI dhan69 and BRRI dhan74. The experiment comprised of two factors, Factor A: two rice cultivars i.e. V₁= BRRI dhan69, V₂= BRRI dhan74; and six levels of Cr application i.e. T₁= 0 mg Cr/kg soil, T₂= 12.5 mg Cr/kg soil, T₃= 25 mg Cr/kg soil, T₄= 50 mg Cr/kg soil, T₅= 75 mg Cr/kg soil, T₆=100 mg Cr/kg soil. The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with four replications. Data on different growth parameters, yield attributes, yield and Cr contents were recorded and analyzed. The control treatment attributed the highest values of vegetative growth, yield and yield contributing character, and nutrient content (P, K, S and Na) with V₂ variety. Data revealed that the tallest plant was found in T₁V₁ and shortest plant was found in T₆V₁ combination also similar trends found in other character. The grain yield of rice ranges from 5.02 t ha⁻¹ to 8.13 t ha⁻¹ while T₁V₂ produced the highest grains yield and T₁V₆ produced lowest grains yield. The highest chromium content was found in T₆V₂. In case of root, there was no value of chromium was found. This might be due to that, applied chromium was transferred from root to grain and straw.

Key words: Chromium, growth, yield, BRRI dhan69, BRRI dhan74.

Introduction

Chromium (Cr) is the 17th most abundant element in the Earth's mantle (Avudainayagam *et al.*, 2003). Due to this wide anthropogenic uses of Cr, the consequent environmental contamination increased and has become an increasing concern in the last years (Zayed and Terry, 2003). Cr (VI) in the forms of chromate (CrO₄²⁻), dichromate (Cr₂O₇²⁻), and CrO₃ is considered the most toxic forms, as it presents high oxidizing potential, high solubility, and mobility across the membranes in living organisms and in the environment. Cr (III) in the forms of oxides, hydroxides, and sulphates is less toxic as it is relatively insoluble in water, presents lower mobility, and is mainly bound to organic matter in soil and aquatic environments. Moreover, Cr (III) forms tend to form hydroxide precipitates with Fe at typical ground water pH values. With increasing worldwide industrialization and rapid urbanization, pollution of trace metals (i.e., heavy metals) in the terrestrial environment has become a global problem (Lee *et al.*, 2006). Therefore, many regulatory bodies in different countries, such as the Ministry of Health of China, the United States Environment Protection Agency (US EPA), the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and World Health Organization (WHO) of United Nations, have strictly regulated the maximum permitted concentrations of toxic trace metals in foodstuffs. For Chromium (Cr), the maximum permissible limit in rice grain is 1 mg kg⁻¹ in China, and such standards have the force of law. The harmfulness of chromium to the soil-plant system has been identified by scientists and aroused public attention. Zayed *et al.* (2010) investigated the uptake and accumulation of Cr³⁺ and CrO₄²⁻ by 11 vegetable species. Chromium contamination in rice grain is a serious threat to human health especially for those with a rice based food diet. Therefore, defensive measures are needed to decrease uptake of Cr to reduce the risk of health hazards in response to Cr-polluted field. The changes of antioxidant enzymes activities, photosynthetic rate and growth of rice cultivars are reduced by Cr toxicity. The differences in acceptance of different cultivars against Cr toxicity provide a base to study the effects of Cr tolerance in crops.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted at the net house and laboratory of Agro-Environmental Chemistry Laboratory of Department of Agricultural Chemistry, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka. The location of the site is 23°74'N latitude and 90°35'E longitude with an elevation of 8.2 meter from sea level. The soil belongs to "The Modhupur Tract", AEZ-28 (FAO, 1988). In this research work, two inbred varieties i.e. BRRI dhan69 and BRRI dhan74 were used as plant materials. The seeds were collected from the Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRI), Gazipur, Bangladesh. The experiment comprised of two factors, Factor A: two rice cultivars i.e. V₁= BRRI dhan69, V₂= BRRI dhan74; and six levels of Cr application i.e. T₁= 0 mg Cr/kg soil, T₂= 12.5 mg Cr/kg soil, T₃= 25 mg Cr/kg soil, T₄= 50 mg Cr/kg soil, T₅= 75 mg Cr/kg soil, T₆= 100 mg Cr/kg soil. The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with four replications. The layout of the experiment was prepared for distributing the varieties and Cr concentration. Seed were sown in the seed bed @ 70 g m⁻² on 01 November, 2016 and the seedlings were transplanted in the main field on December 14, 2016. The pots were filled with soil of the central experiment field. Weeds free soil was used and before transplanting the seedling herbicide was also applied. Fertilizers are applied according to BRRI, 2013 recommended dose. Whole amount of cow-dung, TSP, MP, Gypsum and Zinc and one third of urea were applied at the time of final pots preparation. Half of the rest two third of urea was applied at 20 DAT and the rest amount of urea was applied at 45 DAT. After transplanting all intercultural operations viz. Irrigation and drainage, Gap filling, Weeding, Application of pesticides and other were carried out on time. The rice plant was harvested depending upon the maturity of grains and harvesting was done manually from each pot. Maturity of crop was determined when 80-90% of the grains become golden yellow in color.

Cr analysis: Total chromium concentrations were determined from the digest by Analytik Jena GmbH - novAA 400 P - fully automated AAS spectrometer (Analytik Jena, 2017, country of origin: Germany).

Statistical Analysis: The data obtained for different characters were statistically analyzed using statistics 10 software to observe the significant difference among the treatment. The mean values of all the characters were calculated and analysis of variance was performed. The significance of the difference among the treatment means were estimated by the Tukey's test at 5% level of probability.

Results and Discussion

Plant height: The interaction effect of chromium and variety produced statistically significant plant height at all sampling dates. The interaction effect the height of rice plant ranges from 39.25 to 45.25, 65.50 to 83.75, 75.00 to 96.25 and 76.25 to 97.75 cm at 30 DAT, 60 DAT and 90 DAT and harvest time, respectively. The tallest plant was found from BRRI dhan74 produced in control treatment (T₁V₂) and the shortest plant was found in BRRI dhan69 cultivated with highest amount of Cr (T₆V₁) combination compared to the others combination (Table 1).

Number of tillers hill⁻¹: The interaction effect of chromium and variety showed non-significant impact on number of tillers hill⁻¹. In spite of having non-significant effect, the number of tillers hill⁻¹ ranges from 16.00 to 19.25, 34.00 to 45.25, 48.25 to 65.00 and 57.75 to 63.25 at 30 DAT, 60 DAT, 90 DAT and harvest time, respectively while T₁V₁ produced the maximum number of tillers and T₆V₁ produced minimum number of tillers (Table 2).

Number of effective tillers hill⁻¹: The interaction effect of chromium and variety showed significant impact ($p \leq 0.05$) on number of effective tillers hill⁻¹. The number of effective tillers hill⁻¹ ranges from 25.75 to 42.25 while T₁V₁ produced the maximum number of effective tillers and T₆V₁ produced the minimum tillers i.e. effective tillers hill⁻¹ was significantly decreased with higher amount of Cr (Table 3).

Panicle length: Combined effect of chromium and variety showed positively significant variations on panicle length of rice (Table 3). The T₁V₂ produced the height value of panicle length and the combination T₆V₁ produced the lowest value of panicle length. The panicle length ranges from 20.25 cm to 34.00 cm.

Weight of 1000 grains: For combined effect, the 1000 grains weight ranges from 30.75 g to 22.25 g. The highest 1000 grains weight found in T₁V₂ and lowest 1000 grains weight was found in T₆V₁ combination compared to the others combination.

Yield: Combined effect of chromium and variety showed positively significant variations on grains yield of rice (Table 3). The grain yield of rice ranges from 5.02 t ha⁻¹ to 8.13 t ha⁻¹ while T₁V₂ produced the highest grains yield and T₁V₆ produced lowest grains yield.

Chromium content: Combined effect of chromium and variety showed positively significant impact on chromium content (Table 4). The values of chromium content were ranges from 0.000 to 0.363 and 0.178 to 0.422 ppm while T₁V₁ produced the lowest value of chromium content in grain and straw, respectively. The highest chromium content was found in T₆V₂. In case of root, there was no value of chromium was found. This might be due to that, applied chromium was transferred from root to grain and straw.

Table 1. Combined effect of chromium and variety on plant height

Treatment	Plant height (cm) at			
	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	Harvest
T ₁ V ₁	41.25 bc	74.75 b	87.75	88.00 bcd
T ₂ V ₁	40.50 bc	72.75 bc	85.00	86.00 cde
T ₃ V ₁	40.50 bc	70.00 cd	83.25	84.50 ef
T ₄ V ₁	39.50 bcd	65.50 e	81.00	82.25 f
T ₅ V ₁	38.00 d	69.00 d	77.75	78.25 g
T ₆ V ₁	38.00 d	65.75 e	75.00	76.25 g
T ₁ V ₂	45.25 a	83.75 a	96.25	97.75 a
T ₂ V ₂	41.75 b	82.75 a	95.00	96.75 a
T ₃ V ₂	41.25 bc	65.50 e	90.75	90.00 b
T ₄ V ₂	39.25 cd	70.25 cd	88.75	89.00 bc
T ₅ V ₂	40.00 bcd	65.75 e	86.00	87.00 bcde
T ₆ V ₂	39.75 bcd	66.00 e	84.25	85.75 de
SE (±)	0.68	0.83	NS	0.91
Level of significance	**	**	NS	*
CV (%)	2.39	1.66	-	1.48

Table 2. Combined effect of chromium and variety on number of tillers hill⁻¹

Treatment	Number of tiller at			
	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	Harvest
T ₁ V ₁	19.25	45.25	65.00	65.00
T ₂ V ₁	17.50	43.50	62.25	62.25
T ₃ V ₁	18.25	39.00	58.50	58.50
T ₄ V ₁	17.25	38.25	56.50	56.50
T ₅ V ₁	16.75	36.00	54.25	54.25
T ₆ V ₁	16.00	34.00	49.25	49.25
T ₁ V ₂	22.75	46.50	64.75	64.75
T ₂ V ₂	19.75	42.00	60.50	60.50
T ₃ V ₂	19.00	41.75	59.50	59.50
T ₄ V ₂	19.00	38.75	55.75	55.75
T ₅ V ₂	17.50	36.25	53.75	53.75
T ₆ V ₂	16.25	34.75	48.25	48.25
SE (±)	NS	NS	NS	NS
Level of significance	NS	NS	NS	NS
CV (%)	-	-	-	-

DAT= Day after transplanting

T₁= 0 mg Cr/kg soil, T₂= 12.5 mg Cr/kg soil, T₃= 25 mg Cr/kg soil, T₄= 50 mg Cr/kg soil, T₅= 75 mg Cr/kg soil, T₆= 100 mg Cr/kg soil; V₁= BRRI dhan69, V₂= BRRI dhan74.

Table 3. Combined effect of chromium and variety on yield and yield attributes of boro rice

Treatment	Number of effect tiller	Panicle length (cm)	1000 grain weight (g)	Yield (t ha ⁻¹)
T ₁ V ₁	42.25 ab	28.00 d	27.75 bc	7.54 abcd
T ₂ V ₁	38.75 cd	26.75 de	26.00 cd	7.31 abcd
T ₃ V ₁	36.75 def	25.25 fg	25.00 de	7.12 bcd
T ₄ V ₁	35.00 ef	24.00 gh	23.50 ef	6.89 cd
T ₅ V ₁	30.50 g	23.00 hi	23.00 f	6.45 d
T ₆ V ₁	25.75 h	20.25 j	22.25 f	5.02 e
T ₁ V ₂	43.50 a	34.00 a	30.75 a	8.13 ab
T ₂ V ₂	40.00 bc	31.50 b	28.25 b	7.96 abc
T ₃ V ₂	39.25 cd	29.50 c	26.50 bcd	7.65 abc
T ₄ V ₂	37.75 cde	26.50 ef	25.00 de	7.18 bcd
T ₅ V ₂	34.50 f	22.75 hi	23.50 ef	8.36 a
T ₆ V ₂	30.25 g	21.75 i	23.00 f	6.43 d
SE (±)	0.83	0.42	0.52	3.33
Level of sig.	*	*	*	*
CV (%)	3.27	2.3	2.93	6.58

Table 4. Combined effect of chromium and variety on chromium content

Treatment	Chromium content (ppm)		
	Grain	Straw	Root
T ₁ V ₁	0.000 d	0.000	0.000
T ₂ V ₁	0.000 d	0.222 i	0.000
T ₃ V ₁	0.000 d	0.261 g	0.000
T ₄ V ₁	0.000 d	0.305 f	0.000
T ₅ V ₁	0.144 c	0.354 d	0.000
T ₆ V ₁	0.192 c	0.385 c	0.000
T ₁ V ₂	0.000 d	0.242 h	0.000
T ₂ V ₂	0.000 d	0.262 g	0.000
T ₃ V ₂	0.000 d	0.321 e	0.000
T ₄ V ₂	0.181 c	0.352 d	0.000
T ₅ V ₂	0.283 b	0.392 b	0.000
T ₆ V ₂	0.363 a	0.422 a	0.000
SE (±)	0.0216	5.7840	-
Level of significance	*	*	-
CV (%)	31.40	0.27	-

T₁= 0 mg Cr/kg soil, T₂= 12.5 mg Cr/kg soil, T₃= 25 mg Cr/kg soil, T₄= 50 mg Cr/kg soil, T₅= 75 mg Cr/kg soil, T₆= 100 mg Cr/kg soil; V₁= BRRI dhan69, V₂= BRRI dhan74.

References

- Avudainayagam, S., Megharaj, M., Owens, G., Kookana, R. S., Chittleborough, D. and Naidu, R. 2003. Chemistry of chromium in soils with emphasis on tannery waste sites. *Reviews Environ. Contamination Toxicol.* 178. (pp. 53-91).
- FAO. 1988. Production Year Book. Food and Agricultural of the United Nations. Rome, Italy. 42:190-193.
- Lee, C. S. L., Li, X., Shi, W., Cheung, S. C. N. and Thornton, I. 2006. Metal contamination in urban, suburban, and country park soils of Hong Kong: a study based on GIS and multivariate statistics. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 356(1-3): 45-61.
- Zayed, A. H., Xue, F., Hung, E. P. and Smith, M. 2010. Effects of chromium on rice seedlings (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Int. J. Agric. Res.*, 50(2): 128-140.
- Zayed, A. M. and Terry, N. 2003. Chromium in the environment: factors affecting biological remediation. *Plant Soil*, 249(1): 139-156.